Open Access

Check for updates

REVIEW ARTICLE   **Section:** *Literature, Linguistics & Criticism*

Discourse, pragmatic, and textual analysis of the body in Sunni Islam: Ritual purity, childbirth, and the interpretation of religious practice

Yahya Muhammad Al-Amin Al-Hasan Ibrahim*
Saudi Electronic University (Madinah Branch)
*Correspondence: gmood.999@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

States of uterine bleeding occupy a decisive place in Muslim ritual life because they reorganize obligations of prayer and fasting, shape norms of conjugal intimacy, and affect family-law timelines. This article offers a religious-studies reading of a classical juristic problem that remains pastorally urgent: how Sunni legal traditions differentiate between menstruation (ḥayḍ), postpartum bleeding (nifās), and the discharge or bleeding that can accompany the onset of labor (often termed al-hādī). Rather than treating the topic as a purely technical catalogue of rulings, the study analyzes the evidentiary grammar by which jurists classify bodily signs—temporal thresholds, causal attributions, descriptive markers, and a woman's established habit (ʿādah)—and shows how these semiotic choices generate divergent ritual consequences. Drawing on representative discussions across the four Sunni schools and on modern scholarship on ritual purity and embodied religion, the paper argues that the disputed status of pre-labor discharge is best understood as a difference in evidentiary thresholds and in the legal semiotics of childbirth (event versus process), not merely as terminological disagreement. The conclusion proposes a purpose-sensitive framework for navigating marginal cases that respects juristic pluralism while prioritizing certainty, harm prevention, and pastoral clarity in contemporary settings where biomedical categories and digital fatwā economies reshape how Muslims learn and practice.

KEYWORDS: al-hādī, authority, embodied worship, ḥayḍ, istiḥāḍah, juristic pluralism, nifās, lived religion, ritual purity, Sunni legal schools

HOW TO CITE

Al-Hasan Ibrahim, Y. M. A. (2026). Discourse, pragmatic, and textual analysis of the body in Sunni Islam: Ritual purity, childbirth, and the interpretation of religious practice. *Research Journal in Advanced Humanities*, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.58256/jpdee974

1. Introduction

In Sunni Islamic traditions, uterine bleeding is not treated as a private biological detail detached from worship; it is a religiously meaningful sign that reconfigures the worshipper's relationship to God, to the community, and to the disciplines of the body. The Qur'anic injunction concerning menstruation (Q 2:222) frames menstruation as a condition of "harm" (adhā) and establishes restrictions on intercourse during that period. Juristic elaboration, anchored in prophetic reports and practical reasoning, extends the implications to prayer (ṣalāh), fasting (ṣawm), touching the muṣḥaf, circumambulation (ṭawāf), and other acts associated with ṭahārah. These classifications matter because they determine whether worship is suspended or continued, whether fasting is postponed and made up, and whether intimacy is permitted or prohibited.

Classical manuals develop rich taxonomies for "typical" cases, but contemporary experience often takes place in the margins: irregular cycles, postpartum bleeding that begins or ends unpredictably, contraceptive-related spotting, and discharge shortly before delivery. Transitional cases produce uncertainty and sometimes acute anxiety, especially when women seek rapid guidance from teachers, clinics, or online fatwā platforms whose categories do not neatly map onto one another. Late pregnancy, in particular, concentrates multiple sources of uncertainty—medical risk, bodily exhaustion, and competing normative demands—making the classification of pre-labor discharge (often discussed under the term al-hādī) a recurring question in lived religion.

This article rewrites a juristic discussion for the aims and audience of a humanities and religious-studies journal. Instead of merely listing rulings, it asks: How do legal traditions make meaning from the body? What evidentiary thresholds do jurists treat as decisive in classifying bodily signs? How does juristic pluralism operate as a form of uncertainty management? And what kinds of pastoral clarity become possible when we interpret disagreement as semiotic divergence rather than as error? The argument is not that the tradition "reduces" to semiotics, but that semiotic analysis offers a powerful descriptive vocabulary for how jurisprudence functions in practice as a reasoning tradition.

The study addresses three questions:

(1) What criteria do Sunni jurists employ to distinguish ḥayḍ, nifās, and al-hādī (or analogous pre-labor discharges/bleeding)?

(2) How do divergent criteria yield different rulings across the four schools, especially in disputed pre-labor cases?

(3) What can a religious-studies analysis contribute to contemporary guidance beyond doctrinal cataloguing—particularly regarding certainty, hardship, harm prevention, and authority in plural fatwā landscapes?

2. Materials, method, and positioning within Religious Studies

2.1 Corpus and selection logic

This is a qualitative study in the humanities sense: it conducts textual analysis and comparative reading of juristic discussions in Sunni legal literature and of selected modern scholarship on ritual purity, embodiment, and Islamic legal history. The primary "materials" include: (a) scriptural anchors invoked by jurists (especially Qur'anic verses on menstruation and waiting periods); (b) representative discussions in the four Sunni schools as transmitted in canonical handbooks and commentaries; and (c) selected modern works that contextualize ritual purity as a social and ethical discourse.

Because Sunni jurisprudence is vast, the analysis is representative rather than exhaustive. It attends to: (i) the law of worship (ʿibādāt) where the ritual consequences of classification are most visible; (ii) the law of family and intimacy where bodily states structure permissions; and (iii) the jurisprudence of uncertainty where maxims and procedural rules (qawāʿid, uṣūl) appear.

2.2 Analytical moves

Methodologically, the article combines three moves. First, it reconstructs key definitions (linguistic and technical) and identifies the "classification variables" jurists treat as probative: cause (sabab), time of occurrence, duration limits (minimum/maximum), descriptive features (color, thickness, odor, continuity), and the established habit (ʿādah). Second, it maps doctrinal positions across the four schools with attention to the reasoning patterns

jurists use to privilege particular indicators. Third, it traces the downstream legal effects of classification in three domains—worship, conjugal relations, and family law—showing how category shifts trigger cascades of obligation and permission.

2.3 Religious-studies framing: from rule lists to meaning-making

Religious Studies has long been attentive to how bodies become sites of religious discipline and meaning (Asad 1993; Mahmood 2005/2012). Ritual purity rules can be analyzed not merely as prohibitions but as infrastructures of worship that organize time, social relations, and moral attention. Classic anthropological work on purity and pollution underscores that "dirt" is not a substance but "matter out of place," a relational category that marks boundaries of order (Douglas 1966). Islamic law is not reducible to anthropology, but Douglas's insight helps illuminate why classification is central: ambiguous phenomena threaten the stability of the ritual order unless they can be named, bounded, and managed.

Within Islamic Studies, scholarship has traced how the Sunni law of ritual purity crystallized through early debates and practical constraints (Katz 2002). Recent work shows that menstrual blood was conceptualized as a generically polluting substance in ways that diverged from late antique neighbors, revealing historical shifts in the discourse (Scheunchen 2024). In parallel, studies of legal reasoning around menstruation and ḥajj rites show how hardship, mobility, and women's vulnerability shaped juristic exceptions (Nurgat 2020). Bringing this scholarship into dialogue with fiqh discussions allows a humanities-style contribution: explaining how legal traditions negotiate uncertainty in embodied life, and how pluralism operates as a structured resource for pastoral guidance.

3. Theoretical framing: semiotics of embodiment, habit, and legal maxims
3.1 Embodied signs as legal indicators (amārāt)

Islamic law frequently operates through what may be called a semiotics of embodiment: bodily phenomena function as signs (ʿalāmah, amārah) that indicate a legally relevant state. Signs matter because law must be practicable by non-specialists. A sign must be perceivable, narratable, and administrable. Yet bodily signs are often ambiguous: spotting can resemble menses; postpartum discharge can fluctuate; late pregnancy discharge may be mixed with blood. Jurists respond by ranking indicators and establishing decision rules that govern uncertainty.

3.2 Habit (ʿādah) as individualized evidence

A striking feature of the law of women's bleeding is the role of habit: the subject's remembered pattern becomes a form of legal evidence. When cycles are stable, habit becomes a strong interpretive key for mixed or extended bleeding: blood in the accustomed window is classified as ḥayḍ, excess bleeding as istiḥāḍah. Habit thus links embodied experience to legal reasoning. In Religious Studies terms, habit is also a "technology of the self": it trains attention to the body in ways that support ritual discipline.

3.3 Legal maxims as uncertainty management

Maxims (qawāʿid fiqhiyyah) and procedural rules are prominent in marginal cases. "Certainty is not removed by doubt" (al-yaqīn lā yazūlu bi-l-shakk) discourages suspending worship on ambiguous evidence. "Hardship invites facilitation" (al-mashaqqah tajlib al-taysīr) supports adopting rulings that reduce undue burden during childbirth. The harm principle (lā ḍarar wa-lā ḍirār) can justify prudential restrictions even where categorical permissibility exists. Importantly, these maxims are not free-floating; they operate within doctrinal constraints and are applied differently across schools.

3.4 Juristic pluralism as structured diversity

Pluralism in Sunni law is often portrayed as a set of competing answers. Here, pluralism functions as a resource for managing uncertainty: differences among schools reflect principled disagreements about evidentiary thresholds and about the meaning of causality in bodily processes. The contested status of pre-labor discharge (al-hādī) illustrates how different evidentiary models—childbirth as event versus childbirth as process—yield divergent classifications with pastoral consequences.

4. Comparative jurisprudence: definitions, thresholds, and boundary work

4.1 Ḥayḍ (menstruation): definitional anchors and time thresholds

Juristic definitions of ḥayḍ typically describe it as natural blood exiting from the uterus of a woman who has reached puberty, occurring at recurring times, without an external cause such as childbirth. The emphasis on recurrence and lack of external cause differentiates ḥayḍ from childbirth-related bleeding and from pathological bleeding (istiḥāḍah).

Duration thresholds are central to school differences. In many Shāfiʿī and Ḥanbalī discussions, the minimum is one day and one night and the maximum fifteen days; Ḥanafī jurists commonly set the minimum at three days and nights and the maximum at ten; Mālikī discussions often emphasize observed variability and customary patterns rather than strict minima, though they do operate with guiding ranges. These thresholds should not be read as biomedical claims. They are juridical tools: when the body produces ambiguous signs, law requires administrable criteria to preserve the integrity of worship obligations.

Descriptive markers (color, thickness, odor) appear in many manuals as auxiliary indicators. Yet in practice, jurists often prioritize time and habit because they are more stable and narratable. This preference reflects a pragmatic epistemology: law leans toward indicators that minimize dispute and reduce obsessive doubt (waswasah).

4.2 Nifās (postpartum bleeding): childbirth as a legal marker

Nifās is defined as uterine blood that occurs because of childbirth. Most jurists treat delivery (wilādah) as the decisive marker that shifts legal status. Nifās has no minimum: if bleeding stops quickly, purification is possible and worship resumes. Disagreement appears mainly around maximum duration—commonly forty days in some Ḥanafī and Ḥanbalī transmissions, and sixty days in some Shāfiʿī and Mālikī discussions—again functioning as administrative limits derived from induction and pastoral need.

A related boundary issue is miscarriage. Many jurists connect nifās to the delivery of a fetus with discernible human features, treating earlier losses differently. Here we see "visibility" as a legal technology: a recognizably human form functions as a sign that childbirth has occurred, stabilizing the classification for non-experts.

4.3 al-Hādī (pre-labor discharge/bleeding): the hinge category

The term al-hādī appears in some juristic discussions as a designation for discharge—sometimes whitish, sometimes mixed with blood—that may precede delivery. Unlike ḥayḍ and nifās, its legal status is not uniformly stabilized across schools. This is the hinge problem: at the threshold of birth, bodily processes unfold gradually, yet law often prefers clear event markers.

A majority tendency in Ḥanafī, Mālikī, and Shāfiʿī writings treats pre-delivery discharge/bleeding as irregular bleeding or non-menstrual discharge. It requires cleanliness management and usually breaks ablution, but it does not suspend prayer or fasting. This model privileges a strict causal boundary: nifās begins after childbirth (or after the unmistakable sign of childbirth). As such, it protects against premature suspension of worship on ambiguous evidence.

A notable Ḥanbalī tendency, by contrast, is to treat bleeding that occurs shortly before delivery and is accompanied by clear labor pains (ṭalq) as nifās. Here childbirth is approached not as a single event but as a process whose onset—when marked by pains and bleeding—can trigger the postpartum legal state. In hermeneutic terms, the disagreement concerns what counts as the strongest sign: delivery as an outcome (event model) or the onset of labor as part of a continuous causal chain (process model). The pastoral payoff is clear: classifying such bleeding as nifās can relieve the burden of worship at an especially difficult moment; classifying it as irregular preserves worship obligations until a more decisive marker is present.

4.4 Istiḥāḍah (irregular bleeding): the indispensable residual category

Sunni jurisprudence preserves a residual category—istiḥāḍah—that prevents the legal system from forcing all bleeding into ḥayḍ or nifās. Istiḥāḍah allows worship to continue with manageable accommodations: hygiene to the extent possible, renewed ablution, and regular prayer. The presence of this category is significant for Religious Studies because it shows how the tradition manages embodied variability without collapsing into

either rigidity (everything is impurity) or indeterminacy (nothing can be classified).

Boundary cases that test the system include: pregnancy-related spotting; late pregnancy discharge without clear labor signs; postpartum bleeding that stops and restarts; and prolonged postpartum bleeding beyond a school's maximum. Across these scenarios, jurists return to the same interpretive anchors: cause/context, time thresholds, and habit. Where evidence remains ambiguous, many jurists prefer the path that avoids suspending worship without certainty—an ethical stance toward doubt and discipline.

5. Legal consequences of classification: worship, intimacy, and family law
5.1 Worship: suspension, make-up, and the moral economy of obligation
The most visible consequences concern prayer and fasting. During ḥayḍ and nifās, prayer is suspended and not made up, while fasting is suspended and later made up. This asymmetry is theologically and ethically significant: it signals a jurisprudential commitment to reducing excessive burden in recurring bodily states. In Religious Studies terms, the asymmetry constructs a "moral economy of obligation" in which some duties are treated as time-sensitive disciplines (prayer) and others as time-transferable debts (fasting).

In istiḥāḍah, worship continues with adjustments. The juristic insistence on continuing prayer in irregular bleeding—despite discomfort—also reveals a model of discipline in which uncertainty is managed not by abandoning worship but by procedural accommodations that protect the obligation.

5.2 Conjugal relations: intimacy, harm, and ethical counsel
Intercourse is prohibited during ḥayḍ, grounded in Q 2:222 and expansive juristic elaboration. During nifās, jurists generally analogize the ruling to menstruation. For pre-labor discharge, the permissibility depends on classification and on prudential harm assessment. Here the harm principle can operate in two ways: as a strict legal prohibition when the state counts as ḥayḍ or nifās, and as ethical counsel (irshād) when medical risk or discomfort suggests abstention even if strict prohibition is not triggered. This distinction is crucial for contemporary pastoral guidance: not all prudential advice must be framed as binding law.

5.3 Waiting periods (ʿiddah) and other family-law timelines
Because uterine bleeding relates to reproductive status, classifications can affect waiting periods and marital timelines. Qur'anic discussions of waiting periods (e.g., Q 65:4) interact with juristic debates over whether bleeding indicates fertility status. While this article does not offer a full family-law treatment, it highlights that classification decisions have consequences beyond worship, shaping the temporal architecture of family life.

6. Discussion: what a humanities lens adds
6.1 Disagreement as semiotic divergence, not merely doctrinal conflict
From a doctrinal standpoint, the divergences above are familiar. A religious-studies analysis highlights why divergences persist: they often reflect different evidentiary thresholds and different semiotic models of childbirth. The event model privileges public clarity (delivery), protecting worship from premature suspension. The process model treats onset of labor pains plus bleeding as a strong sign, prioritizing hardship-removal in an intense bodily moment. Recognizing this transforms how disagreement is narrated: it is not simply "one school is strict, another is lenient," but "different models of causality and sign-strength generate different administrative boundaries."

6.2 Authority in the age of digital fatwā and medical categories
Modern Muslims increasingly encounter guidance through search engines and social media, where brief answers circulate without their evidentiary logic. At the same time, biomedical language (e.g., "bloody show") reshapes how women narrate their experience. These conditions intensify the need for interpretive clarity. The tradition historically consulted experts (ahl al-khibrah) when specialized knowledge was relevant; contemporary practice can recover this logic by treating medical assessment as contextual knowledge while preserving the juridical nature of classification.

6.3 Gendered ritual agency and the ethics of certainty

Rules on menstruation are sometimes read externally as restrictions. Internally, they can also be experienced as structured dispensations that recognize bodily rhythms and remove burdens—especially the non-requirement to make up prayers. Yet uncertainty in classification can produce anxiety about validity. The maxim of certainty can be reframed as a pastoral ethic: it protects worshippers from being trapped in cycles of doubt. Pluralism, when transparently explained, can also support agency: women can choose among recognized positions in consultation with trusted scholars, especially in hardship contexts.

6.4 Connecting Islamic legal history to comparative ritual theory

Comparative ritual theory emphasizes that purity rules both mark boundaries and sustain participation. Islamic purity rules are not identical to other traditions, but they share the general logic that bodily boundaries become social and sacred boundaries. Douglas's account of pollution beliefs, Asad's analysis of discipline, and Katz's history of Sunni ritual purity provide a productive triangulation: purity as order-making, as discipline, and as historically formed jurisprudence. Recent legal history on menstruation discourse (Scheunchen 2024) further shows that the "meaning" of menstrual blood was not static; it shifted across time and argumentative contexts. This invites future research that attends to genre (manual, fatwā, commentary) and to regional practice.

7. Conclusion

This article has reframed a juristic discussion of uterine bleeding for a humanities and religious-studies audience by foregrounding the interpretive logic that underlies Sunni classifications of ḥayḍ, nifās, and pre-labor discharge (al-hādī). Across schools, jurists distinguish categories through a matrix of cause, time, description, and habit, and these classifications generate concrete consequences for worship, intimacy, and family-law timelines. The contested status of pre-labor discharge is best understood as a divergence in evidentiary thresholds and in the legal semiotics of childbirth (event versus process), not merely a terminological dispute. Recognizing this can improve contemporary pastoral guidance by making reasoning visible, respecting pluralism, and prioritizing certainty and harm prevention.

Future research can deepen this account in two directions: (i) a broader mapping of pre-labor discharge across pre-modern genres and regional traditions, including fatwā collections that record lived dilemmas; and (ii) ethnographic and digital-religion studies of how women navigate these categories in clinics and online fatwā ecosystems. Such work would enrich understanding of how embodied signs become religious meanings in contemporary Muslim life.

Appendix A. School-by-school comparison matrix (narrative)
A.1 Ḥanafī trajectories

Within Ḥanafī fiqh, the architecture of classification places heavy weight on administrable temporal thresholds and continuity. This produces a comparatively "rule-dense" management of bleeding: minimum and maximum durations help classify borderline episodes, and once classification is fixed, procedural rules regulate worship during istiḥāḍah (e.g., renewal of wuḍū' for each prayer time in some accounts). From a humanities perspective, this emphasis reflects an epistemology that privileges stable public criteria over subjective description. The result is a form of ritual regularization that can reduce uncertainty at the cost of apparent rigidity. Modern scholarship on legal reasoning reminds us that "rigidity" here is also a pastoral strategy: standardized thresholds prevent endless re-interpretation in intimate matters (Hallaq 2009; Reinhart 1995).

A.2 Mālikī emphases on habit and embodied knowledge

Mālikī discussions are often characterized (in both pre-modern and modern descriptions) by attention to embodied variability and to local practice (ʿamal) as a source of legal knowledge. In the law of bleeding, this can appear as heightened sensitivity to habit (ʿādah) and to contextual indicators, allowing more flexible classification when a woman's pattern is stable. The interpretive posture aligns with what historians have called Mālikī "pragmatism" in mediation between text and practice, though the term should be used carefully. For Religious Studies, the significant point is that embodied knowledge becomes socially authorized through the concept of habit; the worshipper's narrative gains juridical weight.

A.3 Shāfiʿī refinement and the logic of categorization

Shāfiʿī fiqh is frequently described as systematizing legal reasoning through precise categories and procedural clarity. In the law of bleeding, this is visible in carefully defined minima/maxima and in analytic treatment of mixed bleeding episodes. The goal is not merely categorization for its own sake, but the stabilization of worship duties in ambiguous cases. Comparative work on Shāfiʿī legal method suggests that such procedural refinement is a response to pluralism and contestation: when multiple readings are possible, schools crystallize their own internal decision rules (Jackson 1996; Lowry 2007).

A.4 Ḥanbalī process models and hardship-removal at childbirth

The Ḥanbalī tendency to construe bleeding with labor pains as nifās in some transmissions can be reinterpreted as a "process model" of childbirth: childbirth is not reduced to the moment of delivery but treated as a continuum whose onset may itself be a legally decisive sign. This resonates with broader Ḥanbalī commitments to textualism and to certain maxims of facilitation when hardship is acute. Importantly, facilitation is not arbitrary; it is anchored in semiotic judgment about what counts as a strong sign of childbirth. In modern terms, one might say that the process model seeks to align legal categories with the phenomenology of labor.

Appendix B. Historiography and interdisciplinary conversation
B.1 Late antique neighbors and the development of Islamic discourse

Recent scholarship has emphasized that the Islamic legal discourse on menstruation developed in conversation— sometimes explicit, often implicit—with late antique conceptions of purity. Scheunchen (2024) argues that Muslim jurists conceptualized menstrual blood as a generically polluting substance in ways that differ from certain late antique precedents. The value of such work for this article is not to claim direct borrowing, but to highlight historicity: the meaning of menstrual blood and the rules surrounding it were shaped in formative debates and then re-stabilized in classical periods.

B.2 Ritual theory, discipline, and gendered agency

Douglas's (1966) framework helps clarify why classification is so central: purity systems mark boundaries that sustain social and ritual order. Asad's (1993) analysis of discipline reminds us that religious rules do not only prohibit; they produce subjects trained in particular forms of attention and endurance. Mahmood's (2005/2012) account of embodied piety in modern Egypt further complicates the story: discipline can be experienced not as domination but as ethical self-formation. Applied here, such perspectives invite us to read the law of bleeding as a complex ethical infrastructure: it removes obligations at some times, intensifies procedural discipline at others, and organizes bodily life into a rhythm of exemption and performance.

B.3 Contemporary counseling, fatwā institutions, and medicalization

In contemporary Muslim settings, questions of bleeding are increasingly mediated by clinics, menstrual tracking apps, and institutional fatwā bodies. This "medicalization" does not eliminate the juristic categories; rather, it reshapes how cases are narrated. A religious-studies approach recommends attention to translation across knowledge systems: what does it mean to translate "bloody show" into al-hādī, and what semiotic losses or gains occur? Digital fatwā platforms often shorten reasoning into verdicts; the present article argues that restoring evidentiary logic can reduce anxiety and improve agency.

Expanded references

      (For space, the full bibliography in the Word file includes additional classical sources across the four schools; uṣūl works on evidence and maxims; and modern scholarship in Islamic law, ritual studies, and gender studies.)

8. Contemporary pastoral scenarios and interpretive pathways

To make the semiotic and epistemic issues concrete, this section discusses common scenarios reported in contemporary counseling. The goal is not to issue binding rulings but to show how different evidentiary models yield different practical pathways, and how pastoral clarity can be maintained without collapsing pluralism.

8.1 Scenario 1: Late-pregnancy spotting without labor pains

A woman at 38 weeks observes light brown spotting intermittently for two days, without contractions. Medically, such spotting may occur for multiple reasons, some benign, some requiring evaluation. Juristically, the key questions are (a) does this align with the woman's menstrual habit? (b) does pregnancy itself disrupt the plausibility of ḥayḍ for this person? and (c) is there a recognized causal marker of childbirth (delivery or labor pains)? In a majority Sunni frame, the absence of delivery and of clear labor pains makes nifās classification weak. Habit becomes decisive: if the woman is pregnant and has not menstruated for months, habit cannot support ḥayḍ classification. The residual category of istiḥāḍah becomes pastorally stabilizing: she treats the discharge as irregular bleeding, manages cleanliness, renews wuḍū' as required, and continues prayer. The certainty maxim functions here as protection against unnecessary suspension of worship.

8.2 Scenario 2: Bleeding accompanied by unmistakable labor pains

A woman experiences strong contractions and observes bright red bleeding shortly before delivery. The process model (prominent in some Ḥanbalī discussions) treats this cluster—labor pains plus blood—as a strong sign that nifās has begun. The event model treats delivery as the decisive sign; until delivery occurs, the blood is treated as irregular bleeding. In practice, the pastoral question is not simply which model is "correct," but which model provides clarity and minimizes harm. During active labor, prayer is ordinarily not feasible. A counselor might therefore present options transparently: (i) If one follows the process model, worship is suspended as nifās begins; (ii) if one follows the event model, worship is technically still obligatory but practically deferred due to incapacity, with no blame. The key is to avoid turning childbirth into an arena for obsessive legal anxiety. Here the facilitation maxim, paired with the ethics of certainty, can justify adopting the view that best preserves calm and dignity in a highly vulnerable moment.

8.3 Scenario 3: Postpartum bleeding stops quickly and restarts

A common postpartum pattern is initial bleeding that subsides, followed by later spotting. Jurists generally hold that nifās has no minimum; if bleeding ends and the woman performs ghusl, worship resumes. If bleeding returns, the classification depends on timing, habit, and maximum duration thresholds. The humanities point is that legal categories are not merely retrospective labels; they guide a sequence of embodied actions—washing, praying, fasting, resuming intimacy—each of which can be disrupted by fluctuating signs. The residual category again stabilizes: when bleeding exceeds maximum thresholds, it is treated as irregular and worship continues, preventing indefinite suspension.

8.4 Scenario 4: Contraceptive-related irregular bleeding

Modern hormonal contraception can alter bleeding patterns. Jurists historically recognized that medicines and illness can produce irregular bleeding. Contemporary fatwā bodies commonly advise treating such bleeding as istiḥāḍah unless it meets the criteria of ḥayḍ with respect to time and habit. A religious-studies lens foregrounds how new technologies reshape embodied narration: the "cause" variable becomes central (medication), and the moral weight of classification shifts from "natural cycles" to "managed cycles." This does not dissolve the classical framework; it reorganizes which indicators are treated as probative.

9. Toward a purpose-sensitive framework for guidance

The analysis suggests a set of interpretive principles that respect juristic pluralism while prioritizing certainty, harm prevention, and pastoral clarity. These principles are descriptive: they articulate what many jurists already do implicitly when navigating marginal cases.

9.1 Principle of strong signs: prefer clear causal markers for category shifts

Because ḥayḍ and nifās have major ritual consequences, category shifts should be grounded in strong signs rather than ambiguous indicators. Delivery is the strongest sign for nifās in the event model; labor pains plus bleeding may be treated as strong in the process model. Either way, the logic is to avoid suspending worship on fragile evidence.

9.2 Principle of habit-anchoring: treat stable embodied patterns as evidence

Where habit exists, it should be used to interpret mixed or extended bleeding. This gives the worshipper's embodied knowledge a recognized role in legal reasoning and reduces dependence on speculative external judgments.

9.3 Principle of residual stabilization: deploy istiḥāḍah to prevent paralysis

Istiḥāḍah functions as a merciful stabilizer: it prevents indefinite suspension of worship and reduces anxiety. When indicators conflict, the residual category can be pastorally wise because it preserves obligation while offering manageable accommodations.

9.4 Principle of facilitation at vulnerability: childbirth as a privileged site for hardship-removal

Childbirth is a paradigmatic moment of vulnerability. Legal maxims and juristic pluralism together allow guidance that prioritizes bodily safety and psychological calm. In such moments, transparency about multiple sound views is itself an ethical act.

9.5 Principle of interdisciplinary humility: consult expertise without collapsing categories

Medical evaluation can clarify whether labor has begun, whether bleeding is dangerous, and what physical capacities exist. Religious guidance can incorporate such information without reducing ritual categories to biomedical ones. The proper goal is translation across knowledge systems.

10. Comparative jurisprudential reasoning in practice: micro-analyses of evidentiary moves

This final analytic section illustrates, at a granular level, how jurists move from bodily description to ruling. The goal is to render visible the "grammar" of inference that often remains implicit in short fatwā answers.

11. Temporal reasoning as a technology of certainty

Time functions as an evidentiary technology because it is measurable, narratable, and relatively stable across observers. When jurists set minima and maxima for ḥayḍ and nifās, they are not primarily making claims about physiology; they are building decision rules that permit worshippers to act without perpetual hesitation. Consider prolonged bleeding: if a woman bleeds for sixteen days, a Shāfiʿī or Ḥanbalī framework with a fifteen-day maximum for ḥayḍ can classify the additional day(s) as istiḥāḍah. A Ḥanafī framework with a ten-day maximum performs the same stabilization sooner. The ethical function is similar: it prevents an open-ended condition from swallowing religious life. In pastoral terms, the maximum threshold works like a "stop rule" that ends uncertainty and re-enables routine worship.

12 Causal reasoning and the childbirth "event"

Causality is the second major inference channel. Nifās is defined by causation: bleeding because of childbirth. The event model identifies childbirth causally through the observable event of delivery. The process model identifies childbirth causally through the onset of labor as a chain that culminates in delivery. Both models claim to track causality; they differ on what signs adequately indicate that causality has begun. This is a classic problem in legal epistemology: causation is not directly visible, so law relies on proxies. In late pregnancy, the same physical sign (blood) can have multiple causal stories; the question becomes which story is sufficiently probable to license a category shift with major ritual consequences.

13 Descriptive reasoning and the semiotics of blood

Many juristic texts list descriptive features (color, thickness, odor) as indicators. These features function semiotically: they treat the body as a text that can be read. Yet descriptive features are also unstable: lighting, subjective judgment, and mixed discharge can make them unreliable. This instability may explain why time and habit often dominate in practical guidance. Still, descriptive features matter when time/habit are unclear, especially for new Muslims or those with irregular cycles. Here the tradition sometimes provides layered guidance: begin with habit and time; if they do not resolve the case, consider descriptive markers; if uncertainty persists, default to istiḥāḍah for worship continuity.

14 Habit as testimony and the authority of experience

Habit is a distinctive Islamic legal mechanism that formalizes experiential knowledge. In many legal systems, bodily testimony is treated as subjective and therefore suspect. In Sunni fiqh, habit is precisely what makes the subject's account legally actionable. This has implications for gender and authority: it grants women a recognized epistemic role in determining their own ritual status. At the same time, habit can become fragile when cycles change due to stress, contraception, or postpartum transitions. Jurists respond by offering secondary rules for "disrupted habit," showing again that law is designed to operate under change.

12.5 Maxims as ethical guardrails

Maxims provide ethical guardrails. "Certainty is not removed by doubt" discourages scrupulosity and protects worship from being suspended on weak evidence. "Hardship invites facilitation" authorizes compassionate options when burden becomes excessive, especially at childbirth. "No harm and no reciprocating harm" allows prudential counsel that prioritizes health and marital well-being. The combined effect is a jurisprudence that does not deny ambiguity but disciplines it: uncertainty is not allowed to metastasize into paralysis.

13. Limitations and directions for humanities scholarship

This article is limited by its textual focus and by its representative sampling of juristic works. A fuller historiography would compare regional traditions and manuscript variants, and would attend to how women's questions appear in fatwā collections as traces of lived practice. Future humanities scholarship can pursue: (1) digital ethnography of online fatwā ecosystems and menstruation-tracking technologies; (2) archival work on juristic counseling genres, including women's petitions; and (3) comparative study of purity discourse across Abrahamic traditions to clarify what is distinctive and what is shared in the semiotics of blood and embodiment.

14. Saudi educational and institutional context

In Saudi Arabia, questions of women's bleeding circulate at the intersection of family practice, mosque teaching, university preparation programs, and formal fatwā institutions. The contemporary landscape includes authoritative state bodies, independent scholars, and digital platforms. For Religious Studies, this plurality is not merely a modern "problem"; it is a window into how authority is negotiated. Learners may encounter school-specific rulings in curriculum materials, while clinicians may use biomedical terms that do not correspond neatly to fiqh categories. University settings, especially those hosting international students and converts, intensify the need for pedagogy that explains reasoning rather than only verdicts.

A humanities-oriented pedagogy can present the four-school spectrum as a disciplined tradition of reasoned disagreement, not as confusion. It can also model responsible consultation: identify one's madhhab or trusted scholarly authority, explain one's bodily situation carefully, and integrate medical evaluation when health risks appear. Such pedagogy is consistent with classical norms of seeking expertise and avoiding obsessive doubt, while also responding to contemporary realities of global mobility (for ḥajj and ʿumrah) and digital information flows.

15. Conclusions

The law of ḥayḍ and nifās is sometimes approached as a narrow technical topic. Yet, as this study has argued, it is also an archive of how a tradition thinks with bodies, manages uncertainty, and distributes agency between text, scholar, and worshipper. Reading these rulings through the lens of Religious Studies reveals that classification is not simply legal bookkeeping; it is a practice of meaning-making at the threshold of birth, where vulnerability, devotion, and community intersect.

In practical terms, the strongest contribution of a humanities reframing is pedagogical. It equips instructors to explain not only "what to do" but "why jurists disagree," and it equips students to interpret their own bodily experience without fear. When women understand that the tradition offers principled tools—habit, time thresholds, residual categories, and maxims—they can act with confidence and worship with tranquility. When teachers understand the semiotics of embodiment, they can communicate rulings with care, precision, and respect. That combination—doctrinal clarity plus interpretive empathy—is precisely what religious-studies scholarship can add to a classical juridical conversation.

References

Ali, Kecia. Sexual Ethics and Islam: Feminist Reflections on Qur'an, Hadith, and Jurisprudence. Oxford: Oneworld, 2006.

Asad, Talal. Genealogies of Religion: Discipline and Reasons of Power in Christianity and Islam. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993.

Douglas, Mary. Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1966.

Katz, Marion Holmes. Body of Text: The Emergence of the Sunni Law of Ritual Purity. Albany: SUNY Press, 2002.

Mahmood, Saba. Politics of Piety: The Islamic Revival and the Feminist Subject. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2005 (rev. ed. 2012).

Nurgat, Yahya. "Menstruation and the Ṭawāf al-Ifāḍa: A Study of Ibn Taymiyya's Landmark Ruling of Permissibility." Hawwa 18, no. 3 (2020): 256–287.

Scheunchen, Tobias. "From Body to Substance: Islamic Menstruation Laws in the Shadow of Late Antiquity?" Islamic Law and Society 32, nos. 1–2 (2024): 1–42. doi:10.1163/15685195-bja10062.

Adang, Camilla, Maribel Fierro, and Sabine Schmidtke, eds. Ibn Ḥazm of Cordoba: The Life and Works of a Controversial Thinker. Leiden: Brill, 2013.

Ali, Kecia. Sexual Ethics and Islam: Feminist Reflections on Qur'an, Hadith, and Jurisprudence. Oxford: Oneworld, 2006.

Asad, Talal. Genealogies of Religion: Discipline and Reasons of Power in Christianity and Islam. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993.

Bauer, Thomas. A Culture of Ambiguity: An Alternative History of Islam. New York: Columbia University Press, 2021.

Brown, Jonathan A. C. Misquoting Muhammad: The Challenge and Choices of Interpreting the Prophet's Legacy. Oxford: Oneworld, 2014.

Calder, Norman. Studies in Early Muslim Jurisprudence. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993.

Douglas, Mary. Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1966. doi:10.4324/9780203361832.

Gleave, Robert. Islam and Literalism: Literal Meaning and Interpretation in Islamic Legal Theory. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2012.

Hallaq, Wael B. The Origins and Evolution of Islamic Law. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.

Katz, Marion Holmes. Body of Text: The Emergence of the Sunni Law of Ritual Purity. Albany: SUNY Press, 2002.

Katz, Marion Holmes. Prayer in Islamic Thought and Practice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.

Mahmood, Saba. Politics of Piety: The Islamic Revival and the Feminist Subject. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2005; revised edition 2012.

Messick, Brinkley. The Calligraphic State: Textual Domination and History in a Muslim Society. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993.

Nurgat, Yahya. "Menstruation and the Ṭawāf al-Ifāḍa: A Study of Ibn Taymiyya's Landmark Ruling of Permissibility." Hawwa 18, no. 3 (2020): 256–287.

Scheunchen, Tobias. "From Body to Substance: Islamic Menstruation Laws in the Shadow of Late Antiquity?" Islamic Law and Society 32, nos. 1–2 (2024): 1–42. doi:10.1163/15685195-bja10062.

World Health Organization. Consolidated Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of Postpartum Haemorrhage. Geneva: WHO, 2025.